Status Report: On Board Module Picker Systems

Herb Willcutt MSU ES Ag Engineering 12/ 12/07

Since the First Cotton **Picker Demonstrations:** New pickers create great excitement and anticipation Handling seed cotton away from the picker has been a hassle

1936 Rust Picker, Stoneville, MS

Objective: Provide a status report of the Cotton Incorporated sponsored Innovative Harvest Systems Project

- Discuss factors involved in making an analysis of the old and new systems
- Discuss fuel consumption for the systems
- Discuss time-in-motion data collected and how it will be used

SSP **Overall Goal:** Develop a computer model of a season long, farm scale harvesting system that will use harvest system performance, GIS maps, SSF historic yields, weather and price variables to simulate picking time, cost and revenue.

SSP

SSP

16

SSP



Conventional System







Conventional System

- 6 Row Picker, Boll Buggy, Module Builder, 2 Tractors
- 1 Picker driver, 1 boll buggy driver, 1 module builder operator, 1 or 2 turn row laborers
- Tarps (Cycled 3 times/season for 3 seasons)
- Pickers typically operate 70% of time on the row, but varies by field size and management style



Case NH 625 Module Express

- Picker: ~ \$450,000 list price
- Weight: 55,000 lb shipping, 65,000 lb loaded
- Module size: 8,000-10,000 lb
- No intermediate transport device
 - picker must serve this purpose when needed
 - module size may be dictated by field row length
- Tarps: slightly more expensive for half module size.
- Hauling: Addition of camera for alignment helps
- Labor: 1 Picker driver, tarp crew (1 man/picker)
- Gin: No modifications necessary
- 365 hp engine















John Deere 7760 Round Module Picker

- Picker: ~ \$593,000 list price
- Weight: 65,000 lb shipping, 80,000 lb loaded
- Plastic wrap \$25/18 round modules, 1 time use
- Round modules contain ~ 5000 lb seed cotton (3.6B)
- Intermediate transport/staging fork available for \$13,000 to \$17,000
- Conventional truck; replace center 6 chains w/flat cleats add camera for alignment helpful
- Gin feeder/un-wrapper ~\$250,000
- Labor: 1 Picker operator, 1 Intermediate transport op.
- 500 hp engine "If you want horses, you gotta feed em"



500 Hp Engine

520/85 X 42 Duel

drive tires

Bale cradle

3.6 bales/RM





1 Ft space between Round Modules For air movement and loading

Staging forks will pull up stalks that will be carried into the gin

6-M

A torn wrap can be patched. It should be watched at the Opener. A non wrapped Round module will be strewn











Table 1: Harvest System Field Efficiencies at Six Locations Conventional 6 Row Pickers

Wait to

Location	On Row	Turn	Dump	Travel	Dump	Down	Yield
	(%)	(%)	(%)		(%)	(%)	(lb/ac)
Delta 1	69.7	10.5			9.5	10.2	1187
Delta 1	70	17.1			5.8	7.2	1413
Delta 1	74.6	12.5	1		6.4	6.2	1413
Delta 2	49.4	8.6		11.9	8.5	21.7	
Delta 3	66.5	6.1	1.6		6.3	19.4	
Delta 4	66.4	17.5			5.1	10.2	
NC 1	76	9.9			4.6	7.9	
Average	67.514	11.743			6.6	2.586	

** includes lunch

		Hrs	Fuel	
Date	Equipment	Run	Used	Gal/Hr.
25-Oct	9986 JD Picker 7130Case/Module	11	125	11.4
	Builder 7140 Case/Boll	11	14	1.3
	Buggy	11	20	1.8
26-Oct	9986 JD Picker 7130Case/Module	10	110.84	11.1
	Builder 7140 Case/Boll	10	20.34	2
	Buggy	10	24.45	2.4
30-Oct	9986 JD Picker 7130Case/Module	10	120.57	12.1
	Builder 7140 Case/Boll	10	20.95	2.1
	Buggy	10	21.69	2.2

		Hrs	Fuel	
Date	Equipment	Run	Used	Gal/Hr.
31-Oct	9986 JD Picker 7130Case/Modul		119.96	10.9
	Builder 7140 Case/Boll	11	11.22	2 1
	Buggy	11	17.97	1.6
1-Nov	9986 JD Picker	11	124.89	11.4

9986 JD Picker	11	124.89	11.4
7130Case/Module			
Builder	11	14	1.3
7140 Case/Boll			
Buggy	11	12.55	1.1
System			14.74
	7130Case/Module Builder 7140 Case/Boll	7130Case/ModuleBuilder117140 Case/Boll11Buggy11	7130Case/ModuleBuilder11147140 Case/BollBuggy1112.55

Fuel Consumption Summary

<u>Grower</u>	Fuel/engine h	<u>Fuel/acre</u>	Fuel/bale	<u>Yield</u> (b/ac)	
Case 625 Module Exp	<u>12.97</u>	2.24		(Expect	14.25 gph)
JD 7760 RM	<u>18.49</u>	(Includes	s staging)	(Expec	et > 20 gph)
JD 9996 ND	13.91				
JD 9986 NC 2006	14.76				
JD 9996 SD 2006	16.18				
JD 9996 SD	14.77	2.65	1.17	2.27	17.05 b/m
JD 9986 CD	14.34	2.43	1.43	2.07	15.2 b/m
Avg. Conventional	<u>14.79</u>	2.54	1.30		

Ratio of Engine to Fan Hours

- 37 picker ads
- Average engine hrs 760
- Average fan hrs 598
- Fan operated 79% of time
- Servicing & travel = 21%

Case 625 Module Express Advantages

- Weight: 65,000 fully loaded
- Price: \$450,000
- Fuel Consumption: ~ 14.5 Gal/hr hard running
- Picking Speed: 4.1 & 5.0 MPH
- Simplicity for Operator
- No gin modifications
- Inexpensive tarps only slightly > than conventional
- Productivity could ~ 80-85%

Case 625 Module Express Disadvantages

- No intermediate transport device currently available
- Module capacity controlled by row length, yield
- Could end up with lighter truck loads in transport to gin
- Some sacrifice of picking capacity possible though not necessary
- Cotton loss equal to conventional
- Field to transport conversion time consumeing

John Deere 7760 Advantages

- Non stop picking (for 18-20 round modules)
- Minimal cotton loss in field or transport
- Provides alternatives to transport
- Has intermediate transport forks for staging
- Could set round modules onto county roads for better access to transport truck
- Labor savings in wrapping/applying tarps
- Productivity could approach 85-90%
- Field to transport conversion in < 5 minutes

John Deere 7760 Disadvantages

- Price: \$593,000
- Weight: 65,000 empty 80,000 loaded
- Wrap expense: \$25./18 round modules
- Complicated system
- Fuel consumption ~ 20 gal/hr
- Gin modifications ~ \$250,000 at gin to unwrap
- Plastic contamination is possible

Conventional 6 Row Picker, Module Builder, Boll Buggy Advantages

- Proven system
- 70% time on row
- Lower costs picker
- Operator friendly system
- Low fuel consumption
- Simple transport system, time saved in loading
- No gin modifications

Conventional 6 Row Picker, Module Builder, Boll Buggy Disadvantages

- Less Productive 70%
- Labor: ~ 4 men per picker
- Equipment: Picker, Module builder, boll buggy, 2 tractors
- Tractors could be utilized for field operations
- System reliability can be a problem when one machine fails

Thanks

Comments/Questions?